

Cosmological vector modes and quantum gravity effects

Golam M Hossain

`hossain@gravity.psu.edu`

Institute for Gravitation and the Cosmos
Pennsylvania State University

Aug 11 2007



References

- ▶ M. Bojowald, G. M. Hossain,
“Cosmological vector modes and the quantum gravity effects.”
Class. Quant. Grav. (to appear); arXiv:0708.xxxx

Cosmological Perturbations

- ▶ Linear metric perturbations around homogeneous and isotropic geometries can be split in different types.
- ▶ Scalar, vector and tensor modes (according to their transformation properties under spatial rotations).
- ▶ It is convenient!
- ▶ In linearized Einstein's equation these different modes decouple.
- ▶ Space-time coordinate transformations (gauge transformations) do not mix the modes.
- ▶ Thus they can be analyzed separately.

Scalar, Vector and Tensor modes

- ▶ Scalar modes are associated with cosmological density perturbations.
- ▶ Tensor modes are essentially the gravitational waves.
- ▶ These two modes are extensively studied in literature.
- ▶ However vector modes do not receive similar attention and are often ignored.

Scalar, Vector and Tensor modes

- ▶ Scalar modes are associated with cosmological density perturbations.
- ▶ Tensor modes are essentially the gravitational waves.
- ▶ These two modes are extensively studied in literature.
- ▶ However vector modes do not receive similar attention and are often ignored.
- ▶ ...and there are reasons for it.

Vector Mode Properties

- ▶ As universe expands, gauge-invariant measure of vector perturbations typically falls of as $\sim a^{-2}$ where a is scale factor. (In absence of anisotropic stress)
- ▶ Once universe becomes approximately homogeneous and isotropic *i.e.* perturbations are small then subsequent expansion leads vector mode to become even smaller.
- ▶ Thus one may be justified to ignore vector mode perturbations in an expanding cosmology!!

Vector Mode Properties

- ▶ As universe expands, gauge-invariant measure of vector perturbations typically falls of as $\sim a^{-2}$ where a is scale factor. (In absence of anisotropic stress)
- ▶ Once universe becomes approximately homogeneous and isotropic *i.e.* perturbations are small then subsequent expansion leads vector mode to become even smaller.
- ▶ Thus one may be justified to ignore vector mode perturbations in an expanding cosmology!!
- ▶ ...but only in late times.

Vector Mode in Contracting Branch

- ▶ Gauge invariant measure of vector perturbations: $\sigma^i \sim a^{-2}$
- ▶ In backward evolution of the spacetime vector modes grow. In particular, vector modes blow up as $a \rightarrow 0$.
- ▶ Signals breakdown of linear perturbation theory.
- ▶ This raises concern regarding robustness of the conclusions which are drawn using homogeneous assumption in small volume.

Vector Mode in Contracting Branch

- ▶ Gauge invariant measure of vector perturbations: $\sigma^i \sim a^{-2}$
- ▶ In backward evolution of the spacetime vector modes grow. In particular, vector modes blow up as $a \rightarrow 0$.
- ▶ Signals breakdown of linear perturbation theory.
- ▶ This raises concern regarding robustness of the conclusions which are drawn using homogeneous assumption in small volume.
- ▶ Viewpoint of a classical cosmologist:
 - ▶ OK! vector modes grow but doesn't even homogeneous background becomes singular as $a \rightarrow 0$ (big bang)? So does breakdown of perturbation theory add any significant twist to an already twisted story?

Bouncing Models of Cosmology

- ▶ However, there are non-singular models of cosmology.
- ▶ Typically they avoid singularity by exhibiting big bounce at small volume.
- ▶ These models are invariably associated with a contracting branch.
- ▶ Conclusions regarding bounce are drawn mainly based on homogeneity assumption.
- ▶ Any such bouncing model of cosmology must show that classical growth of vector modes is suitably modified in contracting branch.

Battefeld, Brandenberger 2004



Loop Quantum Cosmology (LQC)

- ▶ Quantization of cosmological (homogeneous) models using techniques of loop quantum gravity (LQG).
- ▶ Avoid singularity by exhibiting bounce near classical singularity.
- ▶ How robust is this picture when one includes inhomogeneities?
- ▶ In particular, are vector modes better behaved with (loop) quantum gravity corrections?

Vector Modes: Cosmic Vorticity

- ▶ Metric components:

$$g_{00} = -a^2 \quad , \quad g_{0a} = a^2 S_a \quad , \quad g_{ab} = a^2 [\delta_{ab} + F_{a,b} + F_{b,a}] \quad .$$

- ▶ Metric perturbations F_a and S_a are divergence-free. So they satisfy

$$\partial^a F_a = 0 \quad ; \quad \partial^a S_a = 0.$$

- ▶ Vector perturbations describe the vorticity of metric perturbations.

An example of Astrophysical vortex

► Milky Way Galaxy



Gauge Invariant Variable

- ▶ Gauge invariant variable:

$$\sigma^a = S^a - \dot{F}^a$$

- ▶ Perturbed stress-energy tensor

$$\delta T^{(v)j}_a =: P(\pi_{a,i} + \pi^i_{,a})$$

where P is pressure and π_a is anisotropic stress.

Classical Rate of Change

- ▶ Einstein Equation:

$$-\frac{1}{2a^4} \frac{d}{d\eta} [a^2(\sigma_{a,i} + \sigma^i_{,a})] = 8\pi GP(\pi_{a,i} + \pi^i_{,a})$$

- ▶ In absence of anisotropic stress, classical rate of change (for Fourier modes of vector perturbations)

$$\frac{d \log \sigma_k^i}{d \log a} = -2$$

Loop Quantum Gravity Corrections?

- ▶ Homogeneous models are better understood and for some models one can derive effective Hamiltonian rigorously.

Ashtekar, Pawłowski, Singh 2006

- ▶ However, there are yet to be any formal derivation of effective Hamiltonian for inhomogeneous situations.
- ▶ In such situations, one may seek guidance within the lessons of homogeneous models.
- ▶ Such application may appear as somehow ad-hoc approach but it could give us some insights about quantum corrected vector mode dynamics.

Modified Rate of Change: Inverse Densitized Triad

- ▶ Inverse powers of the densitized triad in Hamiltonian

$$\frac{E_j^c E_k^d}{\sqrt{|\det E|}} \rightarrow \alpha(E_i^a) \frac{E_j^c E_k^d}{\sqrt{|\det E|}}$$

- ▶ Modified rate of change

$$\frac{d \log \sigma_k^i}{d \log a} = -2 \left(1 - \frac{\bar{\alpha}' \bar{p}}{\bar{\alpha}} \right)$$

- ▶ Correction function

$$\bar{\alpha} := \alpha(\bar{p}, \delta E_i^a = 0) = 1 + c \left(\frac{\ell_{\text{P}}^2}{\bar{p}} \right)^n,$$

Modified Rate of Change: Holonomies

- ▶ Effective Hamiltonian for homogeneous models: Holonomies in places of connections:

$$\gamma \bar{k} \rightarrow \frac{\sin \bar{\mu} \gamma \bar{k}}{\bar{\mu}}$$

- ▶ Modified rate of change due to the use of holonomies in quantum theory

$$\frac{d \log \sigma_k^i}{d \log a} = - \left(1 + \frac{2 \bar{\mu} \gamma \bar{k}}{\sin 2 \bar{\mu} \gamma \bar{k}} \right) .$$

Discussions

- ▶ Gauge invariant measure of vector perturbations grows in contracting branch. Signals breakdown of linear perturbations theory in small volume.
- ▶ This raises concern regarding robustness of many conclusions (such as bounce in homogeneous models) when one includes inhomogeneity.
- ▶ Typical expected (loop) quantum gravity corrections namely inverse densitized triad corrections and use of holonomies in quantum theory seem to increase the rate of change of vector perturbations.
- ▶ Questions such as whether bounce in LQC will survive if one includes inhomogeneity remains open.