The Ohio State University's Center for Cosmology and AstroParticle Physics





Indirect Searches for Dark Matter with Deep Core

Carsten Rott <u>carott @ mps . ohio-state . edu</u> Center for Cosmology and AstroParticle Physics, The Ohio State University

DeepCore Physics Workshop, Penn State, March 19-20, 09

Outline

Motivation
 Overview of signatures
 Analysis / Methods

 Solar
 Earth
 Halo

 Conclusions



Carsten Rott

GEOGRAPHIC

IceCube - Deep Core Neutrino Telescope



GOTHA: JUSTUS PERTHES

1. 19 19 19 9 Particular 1 Barrison

DeepCore Physics Workshop, Penn State, March 19-20, 09

Carsten Rott

Deep Core Low Energy Extension

- Effective Veto against downgoing muons from surrounding strings and DOMs above (~10⁵ reduction in background)
- Large veto region allows for 4π steradians (all sky) analysis
 - Southern Sky
 - Year around sun
- IceCube:
 - $D_{scatter} = 20m << 1/2*D_{interstring} = 125m/2$
- Deep Core:

 $D_{scatter} = 40m \sim 1/2 * D_{interstring} = 72m/2$

direct light



Deep Core Extension

- Deep Core Strings
- 6 strings with high quantum efficiency PMTs, densely spaced
 - 7 "standard" IceCube strings
- located in best ice (below 2100 m exceptionally clear)
- Interstring spacing 72m
- Uses high Quantum Efficiency PMTs, that have about 40% higher efficiency
- Located in the deep ice
 - Lower atmospheric muon background
 - Larger scattering length ~40m



20

Indirect Searches for Dark Matter

Carsten Rott

DeepCore Physics Workshop, Penn State, March 19-20, 09

6

Searches Overview



Solar	Earth	Halo
Background off-source on- source	Background simulations	Background off-source on- source
Muon neutrinos	Muon neutrinos	Cascades, Muon neutrinos
Neutrino Flux, Scattering cross-section	Neutrino Flux, ?	Neutrino Flux, Self- annihilation cross-section
Excess	Excess	Anisotropy, Spectrum
IceCube (+ Deep Core)	IceCube (+ Deep Core)	DeepCore (+ IceCube)

Earth WIMPs

Earth Wimps

 Place 90%C.L. limit on muon flux from the center of the Earth





 Understanding of low energy vertical tracks extremely important (relates closely to oscillation analysis)

Some experience with Oscillations





IceCube's lowest energy threshold is realized in

Larger MC background dataset is currently studied

- -> Same background as for Earth WIMPs
- -> Need to understand osc. Before looking for Earth WIMPs



- data for validation purposes:
 - Expected:
 - Signal (Muon Neutrinos): 1.81 (no-osc) / 1.42 (osc)
 - Background: 0.0 +/- 20.3

Observed three events

10

8

12

14

16 Track Length (DOMs)

18

0.15

0.1

0.05

Systematic Uncertainties

Neutrino Flux Uncertainty



[Super K Phys.Rev.D71:112005,2005]

Carsten Rott - Seminar @ Nagoya University Uncertainties on the atmospheric muon neutrino flux have a direct impact on the oscillation analysis

Important is the variation in the flux ratio of different flux models as function of energy, in the energy range considered

Expected uncertainty on the neutrino flux of about ~5-10%

January 16, 2009

What to look for



- Deep Core Impact:
 - Lower Energy Threshold, more stat. for 50-200GeV Neutrinalinos
 - Low-energy vertical events are in IceCube predominately singlestring events, but Multi-string events in DeepCore
 - Background estimation in IceCube relies more heavily in MC (no control region !) ... but inclined multi-string tracks in DeepCore can be used
 - Down-going fully contained events might be useable for normalization purpose (similar as for the oscillation analysis)

What about cascades ?



- Can one benefit from cascades ?
 - Help to determine WIMP mass in light of uncertainties in the atm. neutrino background flux.
 - Problem: cascade angular resolution likely not good enough

Earth WIMPs



Solar WIMPs

Carsten Rott

DeepCore Physics Workshop, Penn State, March 19-20, 09



SUPER-K 1996-2001

ube-22 2007 (soft) be-22 2007 (hard)

Cube-80+DeepCore 1825d sens. (hard)

IceCube-22 Solar WIMPs



Direction of the sun remains scrambled until selections are finalized (blind)

- Look for an excess of neutrinos in the direction of the sun
 - No evidence for a signal observed
 - Upper limits on muon flux from neutralino annihilations in the Sun





Indirect searches - E^{thr} = 1 GeV

CDMS(2008)+XENON10(2007)

 $< \sigma_{c_1}^{lim}$

- Under the assumption of equilibrium condition in the Sun, a limit on the WIMP-Nucleon cross-section can be obtained
- For spin-dependent couplings, IceCube's sensitivity is about 2-orders of magnitude better than direct searches

Solar WIMPS Outlook

- Hard: $\chi \chi \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow v v$

- Soft: $\chi \chi \rightarrow bb \rightarrow v v$

- WIMPs all year around
- 50-100GeV Neutralinos can be probed
- Significant improvement in sensitivity to Neutralinos with masses below 200GeV



Sensitivity shown for DeepCore is conservative (using an older less optimized DeepCore geometry)

Solar WIMPs Outlook



Carsten Rott

DeepCore Physics Workshop, Penn State, March 19-20, 09

Halo WIMPs

Recent Excitement



Problems

- ... steeply falling spectra
- ... energy calibration
- ... backgrounds
- ... local sources ?

Conclusion:

Might entirely consistent with astrophysical sources, but if it is really DM then much higher than expected boost factors are needed



100

200

DeepCore Physics Workshop, Penn State, March 19-20, 09

Carsten Rott

20

Motivation

- Strong observational evidence for the existence of dark matter halos
 - We should look for dark matter annihilation/decay signals from galactic halos
 - Such a search is complementary to searches for annihilation signals from the Sun and Earth



Delectability of "invisible"

χ

χ

- One might think that the DM halo is more detectable with "visible" annihilation products
 - if other final states are more detectable, then we can set the most stringent limit with invisible states (neutrinos)
 - IceCube is big because we look for "invisible" states
 - To understand the properties of DM we need a multiwavelength approach anyway

"visible" SM particles: WW, bb, qq, γγ,...

??

"invisible" SM particles:

Galactic Center and Halo

- Galactic Center signal strongly depends on the uncertain cuspiness of the underlying halo profile
- Galactic Center signal has severe disadvantages
- Galactic Center is on the Southern hemisphere and therefore events are down-going in IceCube
- Other "neutrino source" might be present at the GC region
 - This of course means we absolutely should still look at the GC as soon as instrumentally / analytically possible



Halo WIMPs

Galactic Center on Southern hemisphere -30° - Deep Core is needed

Neutrino signal "least detectable", hence allows to set conservative limit on the total selfannihilation cross-section



Annihilation rate $\propto \rho^2$









- Lower atm. background
- Large scale distribution, anglular resolution of
 30deg is more than enough
- Eventually contributions from "known" neutrino point sources could still be subtracted based on the measured flux muon neutrino flux

Effective Area

Neutrino Effective Area for IceCube / IceCube+DeepCore



arXiv:0810.3698

Systematic Uncertainties and Deep Core

Example Solar WIMP Analysis
 Quantum efficiency of optical sensors ~30%
 Potential to be improved ...
 Light propagation in the ice (ice properties) ~20%
 Potential to be improved ... naturally as simulation matures
 Timing and geometry calibration ~10%
 Could become more important for Deep Core !

Conclusions

 Significant increase in sensitivity to WIMPs with masses below 200GeV

- Diffuse cascade fluxes (such as for the halo analysis) require a tight veto ring
 - Searches are Complimentary and very completive to direct searches
 - Outlook for Dark Matter Searches with Deep Core is bright
 - See also material from (for more details):
 - Novel Searches for Dark Matter with Neutrino Telescopes [Nov 17-Nov19, 08] http://ccapp.osu.edu/program_dark.html

Backup Slides

Carsten Rott

DeepCore Physics Workshop, Penn State, March 19-20, 09

Atmospheric Neutrinos



Carsten Rott

30

IceCube Detector Status

