# Three-Charge Supertubes in a Rotating Black Hole Background

http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0612085

Eastern Gravity Meeting The Pennsylvania State University

Tehani Finch Howard University Dept. of Physics and Astronomy May 12, 2008

- String theory has provided a precise quantum description of certain supersymmetric black holes, one of which is the Breckenride-Myers-Peet-Vafa (BMPV) black hole.
- We use a string theoretical object called a **supertube** to probe the black hole.
- We determine under what circumstances a supertube with three charges can merge with the black hole and find evidence that the merger can cause fragmentation of the black hole.
- Thus we extend and generalize the investigations have been performed with the two-charge supertube.



[Sagnotti and Sevrin [hep-ex/0209011]

• String theory describes elementary particles, including the graviton, as quantum states of one dimensional strings instead of zerodimensional point particles.

#### **D**-branes



[Sagnotti and Sevrin, hep-ex/0209011]

• In string theory there are both open strings and closed strings (loops of string). Open strings end on objects called **D-branes**.

#### **Supertubes**



[Mateos, Ng and Townsend, hep-th/0112054]

- Supertubes are tubular D-brane configurations.
- There are static E- and B- fields on their worldvolumes that produce angular momentum, which stabilizes them against collapse.

# D6 brane Supertube (Bena-Kraus construction)

- The supertube is comprised of k coincident D6-branes, wrapped on  $T^4 \times S_z^1 = T^5$ , where k is an even integer.
- By construction, the supertube carries net D0, D4, and F1 charge  $\{q_{D0}, q_{D4}, q_{F1}\}$ , but no D2 charge.
- (10 5) dim.  $\rightarrow$  effectively a five-dimensional scenario.

# **Rotating Black Holes in 4+1 Dimensions**

- In D=4+1 there are [(D-1)/2]=2 independent planes of rotation  $\rightarrow$  two independent angular momenta  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ .
- If  $J_1 = J_2$  the spacetime can still carry net angular momentum even if the black hole event horizon is nonrotating!

#### Lift of BMPV Black Hole to IIA Supergravity

$$ds^{2} = -H_{D0}^{-1/2} H_{D4}^{-1/2} H_{F1}^{-1} (dt + \gamma_{1}(\theta) d\phi_{1} + \gamma_{2}(\theta) d\phi_{2})^{2} + H_{D0}^{1/2} H_{D4}^{1/2} H_{F1}^{-1} dz^{2} + H_{D0}^{1/2} H_{D4}^{1/2} (dr^{2} + r^{2} d\theta^{2} + r^{2} \sin^{2} \theta d\phi_{1}^{2} + r^{2} \cos^{2} \theta d\phi_{2}^{2}) + H_{D0}^{1/2} H_{D4}^{-1/2} ds_{T4}^{2}$$

$$\gamma_1 = \frac{4G_5}{\pi} \frac{J}{r^2} \sin^2 \theta, \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{4G_5}{\pi} \frac{J}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta,$$
$$H_{D0} = 1 + \frac{Q_{D0}}{r^2}, \quad H_{D4} = 1 + \frac{Q_{D4}}{r^2}, \quad H_{F1} = 1 + \frac{Q_{F1}}{r^2}$$

• The angular momenta  $J_1 = J_2 \equiv J$  of the BH satisfy

$$J^2 \le N_{D0} N_{D4} N_{F1}$$

where the  $N_i$ 's represent the integer-valued charges of the BH.

- Low energy effective action for a D-brane
- It assumes a fixed background, which is the most straightforward method to describe moving supertubes.

$$S = \int L dt = \int \mathcal{L} d^7 x = \int (\mathcal{L}_{DBI} + \mathcal{L}_{WZ}) d^7 x$$
$$= -\tau_{D6} \int d^7 x e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{-\det(g_{ab} + b_{ab} + F_{ab})}$$
$$+ \tau_{D6} g_s \int \sum_{7-forms} c^{(m)} \wedge e^{(F+b)^{(2)}}.$$

• 
$$g_{ab} = g_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{a}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{b}}, \quad b_{ab} = b_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{a}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{b}}, \quad c_{a}^{(1)} = C_{\mu}^{(1)} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{a}}, \text{ etc.}$$

# **Static Supertube Configurations**

- In a supersymmetric configuration the supertube charges and black hole charges have the same signs, and the repulsion of the like charges exactly cancels the gravitational attraction.
- This is a configuration of minimum energy, called a Bogolmol'nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) configuration.

# **Critical Angular Momentum**

• The three-charge supertube, when in the vicinity of the BMPV black hole, has a critical value of the angular momentum:

$$j_{crit} = N_{D0} + \frac{\mathfrak{q}_{D0}}{\mathfrak{q}_{D4}} N_{D4}.$$

# **Static Configuration Locations**





 $\mathfrak{j}_1 \leq \mathfrak{j}_{crit}$ 

 $\mathfrak{j}_1 > \mathfrak{j}_{crit}$ 

• When a supertube moves with respect to the black hole, the delicate cancellation of forces is spoiled. There is now an excess of energy  $\Delta E$  over the minimum  $E_{BPS}$ ,

$$E = E_{BPS} + \Delta E$$
  
=  $2\pi R_z \tau_{F1} \mathfrak{q}_{F1} + \tau_{D0} \mathfrak{q}_{D0} + V_{T^4} \tau_{D4} \mathfrak{q}_{D4} + \Delta E.$ 

• This can be used to obtain an effective potential  $V(r, \theta)$ .

• We use the coordinates  $r, \theta, \phi_1, \phi_2$ . Eliminating  $\dot{r}$  and  $\dot{\theta}$  for conserved quantities gives an effective potential V( $r, \theta$ ) for  $0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{V}(r,\theta) &= \Delta E|_{\dot{r}=0,\dot{\theta}=0} \\ &= \frac{\tau_{D6}V_6 \, k \, F_{z\sigma} r^2}{2r^2(H_{D0} + B_0^2 H_{D4})} \\ &\times \frac{1}{(F_{z\sigma}^2 H_{F1} r^2 + H_{D0} H_{D4} \, r^4 \sin^2 \theta - 2\omega F_{z\sigma} \sin^2 \theta)} \\ &\times \left( \frac{[j_1/(\tau_{D6}V_6) - (H_{D0} + B_0^2 H_{D4}) \, r^2 \sin^2 \theta]^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \\ &+ \frac{[j_2/(\tau_{D6}V_6) - (Q_{D0} + B_0^2 Q_{D4}) \sin^2 \theta]^2}{\cos^2 \theta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Motion in the plane  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ 



• There is a special set of purely radial trajectories along  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ . In this case the center of mass of the supertube stays motionless (centered on the black hole) while the radius changes. The potential V(r) is

$$V(r) = \Delta E|_{\dot{r}=0, \ \dot{\theta}=0, \ \theta=\frac{\pi}{2}}$$
  
=  $\tau_{D6}V_6 k \frac{F_{z\sigma} r^2 [j_1/(\tau_{D6}V_6) - (H_{D0} + B_0^2 H_{D4}) r^2]^2}{2r^2 (H_{D0} + B_0^2 H_{D4}) (F_{z\sigma}^2 H_{F1}r^2 + H_{D0}H_{D4} r^4 - 2\omega F_{z\sigma})}$ 

Motion in the plane  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ 



• When  $j_1 \leq j_{crit}$  there is no potential barrier.

• When  $j_1 > j_{crit}$  there is a potential barrier and local potential minimum.

# **BMPV Angular Momentum Bound**

• The angular momenta  $J_1 = J_2 \equiv J$  of the BH satisfy

$$J^2 \le N_{D0} N_{D4} N_{F1}.$$

 Now, supertubes also have charges and angular momenta. Thus we can attempt to violate this bound by dropping supertubes of appropriate charge and orientation into the BH.

#### Attempting to Violate the Angular Momentum Bound

• If the BH angular momentum is slightly below the maximum value, *i.e.* 

$$J \approx \sqrt{N_{D0}N_{D4}N_{F1}}$$

we can add two identical supertubes to the BH (one along each axis so that  $J'_1 = J'_2$ ) and see if doing so violates the angular momentum bound.

# Attempting to Violate the Angular Momentum Bound

- If there is no potential barrier present, no overspin occurs.
- If there is a potential barrier present, overspin can formally occur if  $j_1 > 4j_{crit}$  ...but the resulting object is no longer a BMPV black hole!

# Post-Merger State: Fragmentation of the Black Hole?



[Elvang, Emparan, Mateos and Reall, hep-th/0408120]

- The true nature of the final bound state of BH + supertubes has yet to be determined.
- Marolf and Virmani (2005) have argued that it is a fragmentation into several black objects; the most natural candidate seems to be a concentric black hole/black ring configuration.

# **Entropy Considerations**

$$S_{BMPV} = 2\pi \sqrt{N_{D0}N_{D4}N_{F1} - J^2}$$

• If, post-merger, we have

$$N_{D0}'N_{D4}'N_{F1}' - J'^{2} < N_{D0}N_{D4}N_{F1} - J^{2},$$

then the GSL implies that the result cannot be a black hole.

#### **Entropy Considerations**

• Since the condition

$$N_{D0}'N_{D4}'N_{F1}' - J'^{2} < N_{D0}N_{D4}N_{F1} - J^{2}$$

is necessary but not sufficient for an overspin, we see that fragmentation can occur even *without* an overspin, *i.e.* under circumstances more general than realized previously.

• A similar argument applies to the two-charge supertube, thus generalizing that analysis as well.

# **Possible Future Directions**

- A configuration of a black ring surrounding a black hole, called 'black Saturn' has recently gained attention. It appears to be one of the most stable black objects for a given mass and angular momentum, as phase diagrams for five dimensional black holes indicate (Elvang *et. al.* 2007).
- If charged versions of black Saturn are found, perhaps some of them will be supersymmetric. If so they would be natural candidates for endpoints of supertube/BMPV mergers.

- T. Finch, "Three-Charge Supertubes in a Rotating Black Hole Background," to be submitted to JHEP, [arXiv:hep-th/0612085].
- I. Bena and P. Kraus, "Three Charge Supertubes and Black Hole Hair," Phys. Rev. D 70, 046003 (2004), [arXiv:hep-th/0402144].
- D. Marolf and A. Virmani, "A Black Hole Instability in Five dimensions?" JHEP **0511**, 026 (2005), [arXiv:hep-th/0505044].
- R. Emparan, D. Mateos, and P. Townsend, "Supergravity Supertubes," JHEP 0107, 011 (2001), [arXiv:hep-th/0106012].
- I. Bena, C. Wang, and N. Warner, "Sliding Rings and Spinning Holes," JHEP 0605, 075 (2006), [arXiv:hep-th/0512157].
- J. Breckenridge, R. Myers, A. Peet, and C. Vafa, "D-branes and Spinning Black Holes," Phys. Lett. B **391**, 93-98 (1997), [arXiv:hep-th/9602065].
- M. Cvetic and D. Youm, "General Rotating Five Dimensional Black Holes of Toroidally Compactified Heterotic String," Nucl. Phys. B 476, 118 (1996), [arXiv:hep-th/9603100].
- H. Elvang, R. Emparan, D. Mateos, and H. Reall, "Supersymmetric Black Rings and Three-Charge Supertubes," Phys. Rev. D 71, 024033 (2005), [arXiv:hepth/0408120].

# References

- A. Sagnotti and A. Sevrin, "Strings, Gravity and Particle Physics," in: Proceedings of the 37th Rencontres de Moriond on Electroweak Interactions and Unified Theories, (2002), [arXiv:hep-th/0209011].
- D. Mateos, S. Ng and P. K. Townsend, "Tachyons, Supertubes and Brane/Antibrane systems," JHEP **0203**, 016 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0112054].
- H. Elvang, P. Figueras, "Black Saturn," JHEP **0705**, 050 (2007), [arXiv:hep-th/0701035].
- H. Elvang, R. Emparan, P. Figueras, "Phases of Five-Dimensional Black Holes," JHEP 0705, 056 (2007), [arXiv:hep-th/0702111].

# Grateful acknowledgements to:

- The Eastern Gravity Meeting Committee
- The Pennsylvania State University
- Howard University Dept. of Physics and Astronomy
- Howard University Graduate School
- United States Department of Energy
- Kavli Institute of Theoretical Physics at UCSB
- UCSB Graduate Division and Prof. John Mohr
- Prof. Don Marolf, Prof. Iosif Bena, Prof. Per Kraus, Prof. Joe Polchinski and Amitabh Virmani.